AFRICAN STANDARD



AfricanStandard

rification of the second secon Vehicle Load Management — Equipment Verification — Weigh-in-



Reference No. WD-ARS 1374:2025(E) ICS 43.020

© ARSO 2025

Table of contents

1	Scop	e and application	1
	1.1	Scope	1
	1.2	Application	1
2	Norm	native references	1
3	Term	is and definitions	2
	3.1	Definitions	2
	3.2	Abbreviations	5
4	Verifi	cation Procedure	5
	4.1	Introduction	6
	4.2	Schedule and Frequency of Verification	6
	4.3	Verification Vehicle	6
	4.4	Procedure	7
An	nex A (r	normative) Verification Certificate	9
An	nex B (r	normative) WIM System Verification Field Sheet	10
An	nnex C (i	nformative) Example of a Completed WIM System Verification Field Sheet	13
Bik	oliograph	hy	16
		Rel	
		onni	
		Kale.	
	~*	SUL	
	$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$		
	31.		
Alli			
$\mathcal{O}_{I_{n}}$			

Foreword

The African Organization for Standardization (ARSO) is an African intergovernmental organization established by the United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (UNECA) and the Organization of African Unity (AU) in 1977. One of the fundamental mandates of ARSO is to develop and harmonize African Standards (ARS) for the purpose of enhancing Africa's internal trading capacity, increase Africa's product and service competitiveness globally and uplift the welfare of African communities. The work of preparing African Standards is normally carried out through ARSO technical committees. Each Member State interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, Regional Economic Communities (RECs), governmental and non-governmental organizations, in liaison with ARSO, also take part in the work.

ARSO Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare ARSO Standards. Draft ARSO Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an ARSO Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ARSO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This African Standard was prepared by the ARSO Technical Committee Number 29 on Road, Rail, Air and Water Transport Infrastructure (ARSO/TC 29).

© African Organisation for Standardisation 2025 — All rights reserved*

ARSO Central Secretariat International House 3rd Floor P. O. Box 57363 — 00200 City Square NAIROBI, KENYA

Tel. +254-20-2224561, +254-20-3311641, +254-20-3311608

E-mail: arso@arso-oran.org Web: www.arso-oran.org

attAfricanstandard

^{* © 2025} ARSO — All rights of exploitation reserved worldwide for African Member States' NSBs.

Copyright notice

This ARSO document is copyright-protected by ARSO. While the reproduction of this document by participants in the ARSO standards development process is permitted without prior permission from ARSO, neither this document nor any extract from it may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form for any other purpose without prior written permission from ARSO.

Requests for permission to reproduce this document for the purpose of selling it should be addressed as shown below or to ARSO's member body in the country of the requester:

© African Organisation for Standardisation 2025 — All rights reserved ted as Afri

ARSO Central Secretariat International House 3rd Floor P.O. Box 57363 - 00200 City Square NAIROBI, KENYA

Tel: +254-20-2224561, +254-20-3311641, +254-20-3311608

E-mail: arso@arso-oran.org Web: www.arso-oran.org

Reproduction for sales purposes may be subject to royalty payments or a licensing agreement. Dratt African Standard for comments only Violators may be prosecuted.

Introduction

The main goal of a Weigh Station is effective and efficient vehicle load management by way of accurate screening results of vehicles without requiring vehicles that are not overloaded to be weighed on the static scale.

Accurate screening results of both domestic road transport and international cross border road transport call for the verification of the Weigh in Motion (WIM) Systems to be performed in a consistent manner to ensure reliable screening results irrespective of the weigh station at which the WIM System is installed.

This standard specifies the WIM System verification process to ensure the continuous effective and efficient overload control that conforms to legal requirements.

red, fo This procedure may also be used during the calibration of the WIM System prior to the verification thereof, by repetition of the procedure until the required accuracy is reached, for verification to be

AFRICAN STANDARD

Standar

Vehicle Load Management — Equipment Verification — Weigh-in-Motion System Verification

1 Scope and application

1.1 Scope

This document:

- Indicates the schedule and frequency of verification operations to be performed on WIM Systems.
- Gives specifications for Verification Vehicles to be used for verification of a WIM System.

1.2 Application

This document applies to:

- Automatic WIM Systems, but does not apply to individual parts of WIM Systems.
- WIM Systems used for Statistical (e.g. Traffic Monitoring, Pavement Loading, Pre-selection), and Legal (e.g. Trade, Tolling, Direct Mass Enforcement) applications.
- WIM Systems installed on, in or under the road infrastructure including both roads and bridges independent from which type of measuring technology is used.
- High Speed WIM Systems (in a normal road and operated under free flow traffic conditions).
- Fixed as well as portable WIM Systems installed in or under road pavement bridges.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ARS 1372-1: Vehicle Load Management – Accreditation – Part 1: Weigh Station Layout and Design

ARS 1372-2: Vehicle Load Management – Accreditation – Part 2: Vehicle Load Management Information System

ARS 1373: Vehicle Load Management - Equipment Verification - Static Scale Verification

ASTM International Standard E 1318-09 – Standard Specification for Highway Weigh-In-Motion (WIM) Systems with User Requirements and Test Methods

raft P

3 Terms and definitions

For the purpose of this standard the following definitions and abbreviations apply.

3.1 Definitions

3.1.1

accuracy of a measurement

The degree to which a measured value can be equated to the true value or a value accepted as reference.

3.1.2

accuracy of a measurement instrument

The degree to which measurements from a specific measurement instrument can be equated to the true value or a value accepted as reference.

3.1.3

accuracy

δ

Value defined for the specific WIM System Class, used as a percentage to quantify the maximum allowed relative error.

3.1.4

accuracy range

The range of measurements acceptable for a specific reference value based on the accuracy level. [Reference Value – $(\delta/100)$ *Reference Value, Reference Value + $(\delta/100)$ *Reference Value]

3.1.5

adjustment

An operation or change made to a measuring instrument to reduce the error of measurement.

3.1.6

axle

In relation to a vehicle, means a device or set of devices, whether continuous across the width of the vehicle or not, about which the wheels of the vehicle rotate and which is so placed that, when the vehicle is travelling straight ahead, the vertical centre lines of such wheels would be in one vertical plane at right angles to the longitudinal centre-line of such vehicle.

3.1.7

axle distance

The distance between the centres of two axles, parallel to the nominal direction of travel of the vehicle.

3.1.8

axle unit

In relation to a vehicle means a set of two or more parallel axles of such vehicle that are so interconnected as to form a unit.

3.1.9

axle unit load

The sum of the wheel mass of all wheels on any axle unit.

3.1.10

axle load

The sum of the wheel mass of all wheels on any axle.

3.1.11

axle spacing See axle distance.

3.1.12 bias See mean error.

3.1.13

calibration

The process of comparing a measuring instrument against a traceable standard or another accepted reference and adjust the measuring instrument to reduce the error of measurements.

3.1.14

confidence level

The chance that, in reality, the measurement errors of the system under test lie within the accuracy interval $[-\delta, +\delta]$, expressed as a percentage. The confidence level is used to qualify the reliability of the outcome of a test procedure.

3.1.15

dynamic vehicle tyre force

The component of time-varying force in N applied perpendicularly to the road surface by the tyres on a wheel of a moving vehicle.

3.1.16

error of measurement

The difference between the measured value and the true value or an accepted reference value for an individual measurement.

3.1.17 Gross Combination Mass GCM

Maximum design mass of a combination of vehicles and their load as specified by the manufacturer of the drawing vehicle on the vehicle plate.

3.1.18

Gross Vehicle Mass GVM

Maximum design mass of a vehicle and its load as specified by the manufacturer of the vehicle on the vehicle plate.

3.1.19

legal applications

Applications of WIM Systems with a direct connection to a legal transaction, such as mass enforcement. Such WIM Systems require a legal approval by a notified body that certifies the requirement for each individual measurement.

3.1.20

load sensor

A sensor installed in or under the road pavement measuring the dynamic force exerted by a vehicle on the road,

3.1.21

measurement error

Difference between the measured value and the accepted reference value.

3.1.22

operating ranges

Range between the minimum and maximum value of influence quantities where the system performs according to its specifications.

3.1.23

rated operating conditions

Conditions of use that give the ranges of the influence quantities for which the performance of the system lies within the specifications.

3.1.24

reference conditions

Conditions of use prescribed for testing the performance of a measuring instrument or for intercomparison of measurements.

3.1.25

reference mass

The unknown actual value or the known value in kg accepted as a reference value for a mass measurement.

3.1.26

reference spacing

The unknown actual value or the known value accepted as a reference value for a spacing measurement.

3.1.27

relative measurement error

Error of measurement divided by the accepted reference value.

3.1.28

sensor

Part of a measuring instrument that is directly affected by the parameter to be measured and produces a related signal.

3.1.29

standard deviation

σ

The measure of the distribution of data about a mean value. It describes the dispersion (spread) of data on either side of a mean value.

3.1.30

static weighing

Weighing of a vehicle while that vehicle is stationary relative to the scale.

3.1.31

statistical application

Application of WIM Systems without a direct connection to a legal or financial transaction, e.g. traffic monitoring, pavement loading, pre-selection or screening for mass enforcement. Such WIM Systems do not require legal approval by a notified body.

3.1.32

total vehicle mass

The total mass of a vehicle or combination of vehicles in kg, measured as the sum of the loads on all the wheels of the vehicle that are in contact with the road surface.

3.1.33

true value

The unknown actual value or the known value accepted as a reference value for a measurement. For HSWIM measurements generally, a static scale measurement is used as a reference value.

3.1.34

validity of measurement

An indication of confidence in the quality of a measurement determined by a WIM System itself intended to filter measurement that were disturbed by external factors such as driver behaviour.

3.1.35

vehicle length

See wheel base.

3.1.36

Vehicle Load Management Information System VLMIS

The computerised information system contemplated in ARS 1372-2: Vehicle Load Management Accreditation – Part 2: Vehicle Load Management Information System.

3.1.37

verification

The process of comparing a measuring instrument against a traceable standard or another accepted reference.

3.1.38

verification vehicle

Combination of a drawing vehicle and semi trailer, also referred to as an articulated vehicle.

3.1.39 Weigh-In-Motion

WIM

The process of estimating the wheel and/or axle loads and gross mass of a moving vehicle, by measurement an analysis of the dynamic vehicle tyre force.

3.1.40

WIM System (Instrument)

A set of sensors mounted in conformance with the manufacturer's specifications and electronics with software which measures dynamic vehicle tyre forces and vehicle presence of a moving vehicle with respect to time and calculates wheel and/or axle loads and gross mass estimates, as well as other vehicle parameters such as speed, axle spacing, category, etc.

3.1.41

weighing range

Range between the minimum and maximum value of the measured variable where the system performs according to its specifications.

3.1.42

wheel base

The distance between the centres of the first and last axle of a vehicle.

3.1.43

wheel load

The portion of the gross mass in kg imposed upon a weighing instrument by the tyre(s) of a stationary wheel at the time of weighing, due only to the vertical downward force of gravity acting on the mass of the vehicle.

3.2 Abbreviations

HSWIM high speed weigh in motion

kg kilogram

m metre

N newton

4 Verification Procedure

4.1 Introduction

The function of verification is to define factors that influence the accuracy and reliability of the measurements made by the WIM System. It is necessary to recognise the effects of these site-specific, speed-specific, and vehicle specific influences on WIM system performance and attempt to compensate for their adverse effects as much as is practically possible via on-site verification.

This procedure shall be conducted by a verification agent with cooperation of the vendor, or by their authorised representatives. It requires that one loaded, pre-weighed and measured test vehicle make multiple runs over the WIM System Sensors in each applicable lane at specified speeds.

4.2 Schedule and Frequency of Verification

The verification procedure shall be applied within 6 calendar months after the initial verification of a WIM System. A WIM System shall be verified at least once in every 6 calendar months. The verification procedure shall be applied again when a WIM System is reinstalled or whenever site conditions or WIM System components (including software and settings) have changed significantly.

Where large inaccuracies are observed when the WIM System is verification after the initial installation or reinstallation, likely due to high traffic volumes or other environmental factors, the next verification may be scheduled sooner than 6 months.

Where the VLMIS allows active monitoring of the WIM System by way of comparison of the Static Scale axle unit and total vehicle mass relative to the WIM axle and total vehicle mass recorded for the same vehicle, the verification may be extended to intervals of 12 months.

4.3 Verification Vehicle

One verification vehicle is required for the verification procedure. This vehicle should be selected specifically to conform to the following characteristics for a verification vehicle:

- 1) The vehicle shall be an articulated vehicle with five (5) axles.
- 2) The vehicle shall be between 2.4 m and 2.6 m wide.
- 3) The vehicle shall have a non-shifting static load.
- 4) The vehicle shall be loaded to at least 90% of its allowable GCM.
- 5) The vehicle shall be loaded in order to balance the load across all axles or axle units, not cincluding the steering axle.
- 6) The vehicle shall be in excellent mechanical condition.

The characteristics of the verification vehicle shall be carefully documented for the verification procedure and recorded for future reference.

4.4 Procedure

The following procedure shall be followed to verify the calibrated accuracy of a WIM System. A WIM System Verification Field Sheet template is supplied in Annex B. This field sheet may be used as is, or as a guide for documentation used by a WIM System Verifier.

- trican Standari 1) The Verification Vehicle shall be weighed on a Static Scale. The Static Scale used shall conform to the verification standard set out in ARS 1373: Vehicle Load Management -Equipment Verification - Static Scale Verification. The mass of each axle shall be recorded to the nearest 20 kg. The following axle masses shall be recorded:
 - i) The front axle (single axle).
 - ii) The drive axle unit (dual axle).
 - iii) The trailer axle unit (dual axle).
 - iv) The Total Vehicle Mass shall be calculated by adding the three masses together.
- The Axle Distances from the steering axle to the driving axle unit, from the steering axle to the 2) trailer axle unit, and from the driving axle unit to the trailer axle unit on the truck shall be measured and recorded to the nearest 0.05 m. These measurements shall be regarded as the Reference Spacing.
- 3) The WIM System settings shall be set in accordance with the WIM System vendor's recommendations.
- The Verification Vehicle shall make three (3) test runs over the WIM System at the selected 4) speed indicative of the traffic at the site. In the application of this procedure for purposes of calibration prior to verification, adjustments must be made by the authorised calibration agent to fine tune the axle distance and mass measurement of the system until the desired accuracy is achieved.
- 5) The Verification vehicle shall complete an additional two (2) runs over the WIM System at the selected speed that is indicative of the traffic at the site to confirm the accuracy of the adjustments. If the user is satisfied that the measurements fall within the Accuracy Range, the test may continue. If this is not the case, additional adjustment is required and the Vehicle shall make two (2) more confirming runs.
- The Verification Vehicle shall make ten (10) runs over the WIM System, divided into runs at 6) selected speeds:
 - i) Three (3) runs at the minimum rated speed of the WIM;
 - ii) Three (3) runs at the maximum rated speed of the WIM; and,

iii) Four (4) runs at the speed indicative of the average speed of traffic over the WIM.

All the data of each run shall be recorded on the datasheet provided in Annex B.

The Mass Error and Spacing Error Percentage for all recorded measurements shall be calculated at the end of the ten (10) runs. The calculations shall be performed as follows:

i) For mass measurements, the percent error for each test run shall be calculated using the following formula:

 $Mass Error \% = \frac{Measured Mass - Reference Mass}{Reference Mass} \times 100\%$

yraft P

ii) The error for Axle Distance shall be calculated using the following formula:

Spacing Error $\% = \frac{Measured Spacing - Reference Spacing}{Reference Spacing} \times 100\%$

- tandari 9) A check shall be made of the calculated results against the accepted Accuracy Range for the WIM System. There will be one of two results for each of the applications:
 - i) For Statistical Applications the confidence level shall be 95%:
 - If 95% of all recorded test results (single axles, axle units, Total Vehicle Mass and I) axle spacing) fall within the specified Accuracy Range, the system have passed the requirements;
 - If less than 95% of the calculated differences fall within the specified Accuracy Range, II) the system have failed the requirements.
 - For Legal Applications the confidence level shall be 100%: ii)
 - If 100% of all recorded test results (single axles, axle units, Total Vehicle Mass and I) axle spacing) fall within the specified Accuracy Range, the system have passed the requirements:
 - II) If less than 100% of the calculated differences fall within the specified Accuracy Range, the system have failed the requirements.
- 10) A Verification Certificate in conformance with Annex A shall be issued upon confirmation that the WIM System passed the requirements.
- 11) In the application of this procedure for purposes of calibration prior to verification, the system must be re-adjusted by the authorised calibration agent and an additional ten (10) passes shall be required to retest the system after the adjustment. The calibration testing shall continue ses a ses and and for contraction of the seandard for contract until the system passes all requirements.

Annex A

(normative)

Verification Certificate

Certificate Number:			
Varification Laboratory			~
Audress:			<u> </u>
			<u></u> 2>
Owner of WIM:			.xe
Location of WIM:			<u>C/r</u>
		- Ve	
		×*0	
Details of WIM			Γ
Manufacturer:		Model:	
Serial Number:		Measurement	
Maximum Capacity (kg):	C	intervai:	
Details of Verification Veh	icle		
Plate Number(s):	alli	Axle Configuration:	
	<u>5</u> 0,	Tare:	
. x0		Total Vehicle Mass:	
Mechanical Inspection Perf	ormed on (Date):		
<u> </u>			
I hereby certify that the above out in ARS 1374, passing all	ve WIM System co tests as shown on	nforms to the verification the Verification Field She	requirements as set et attached.
Date:		Time:	
Name of Ve	rification Officer:		

Annex B

(normative)

WIM System Verification Field Sheet

WIM Verification Field Sheet

Verification performed in compliance with ARS 1374

Test Conditions and Variables

WIM System		
WIM Type	Accuracy	Se
		6

Vehicle Reg. No.		
Statically Weighed N	Aasses	Axle Spacing
Steering Axle		From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit
Driving Axle Unit		From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit
Trailer Axle Unit		From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit
Total Vehicle Mass		

Test Run Results

Test Run 1			×S		
Mass loads	Measured	Error % 📿	Axle Spacing	Measured	Error %
Steering Axle			From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit		
Driving Axle Unit			From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit		
Trailer Axle Unit		CO.	From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit		
Total Vehicle Mass	5				

Test Run 2					
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Axle Spacing	Measured	Error %
Steering Axle			From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit		
Driving Axle Unit			From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit		
Trailer Axle Unit			From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit		
Total Vehicle Mass					
			·		

Test Run 3					
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Axle Spacing	Measured	Error %
Steering Axle			From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit		
Driving Axle Unit			From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit		
Trailer Axle Unit			From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit		
Total Vehicle Mass					

Test Run 4					
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Axle Spacing	Measured	Error %
Steering Axle			From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit		
Driving Axle Unit			From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit		
Trailer Axle Unit			From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit		
Total Vehicle Mass					

Test Run 5				Su
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Spacing	Measured Error %
Steering Axle			From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit	i C'O'
Driving Axle Unit			From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit	All
Trailer Axle Unit			From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit	
Total Vehicle Mass				~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

				<u>></u>	
Test Run 6					
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Axle Spacing	Measured	Error %
Steering Axle			From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit		
Driving Axle Unit			From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit		
Trailer Axle Unit			From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit		
Total Vehicle Mass			4		

Test Run 7					
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Axle Spacing	Measured	Error %
Steering Axle			From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit		
Driving Axle Unit			From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit		
Trailer Axle Unit			From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit		
Total Vehicle Mass					
		~0/			

Test Run 8		$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$			
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Axle Spacing	Measured	Error %
Steering Axle			From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit		
Driving Axle Unit	×0.		From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit		
Trailer Axle Unit			From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit		
Total Vehicle Mass	0				

Test Run 9					
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Axle Spacing	Measured	Error %
Steering Axle			From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit		
Driving Axle Unit			From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit		
Trailer Axle Unit			From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit		
Total Vehicle Mass					

Mas loads Measured Error % Axle Spacing Measured Error Steering Axle Unit Error Trailer Axle Unit Error Trailer Axle Unit Error Trailer Axle Unit Error Trailer Axle Unit Error Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit Trailer Axle Unit Error Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit Error Steerin	red Error
Steering Axle Unit From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit From Steering Axle Unit From Stee	, can stan
Driving Axle Unit	, can stan
Trailer Axle Unit From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit Total Vehicle Mass	ican star
Total Vehicle Mass	, can stan
MATICAN Standard for comments only Not to be cited as Atrican Sta	ican stat
Multican standard for comments only Not to be offed to	
At African standard for comments only Not to	
At Attican Standard for comments only	
it African Standard for comments	
At African Standard for comm.	
At Attican Standard for CU	
At African Standard for	
it African Standard	
it African standio.	
At African Stall	
at Atrican St	
it Africal'	
it Atriv	
St P.	

Annex C

(informative)

Example of a Completed WIM System Verification Field Sheet

WIM Verification Field Sheet

Verification performed in compliance with ARS 1374

Test Conditions and Variables

WIM System			Ser
WIM Type	HSWIM	Accuracy	4
			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Vehicle Reg. No.			>	
Statically Weighed Masses		Axle Spacing		
Steering Axle	3860 kg	From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit	5.95 m	
Driving Axle Unit	10200 kg	From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit 🚫	14.47 m	
Trailer Axle Unit	9000 kg	From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit	20.42 m	
Total Vehicle Mass	23060 kg			

# **Test Run Results**

Test Run 1			0		
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Axle Spacing	Measured	Error %
Steering Axle	3860 kg	0,00*	From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit	5.78 m	-2.86*
Driving Axle Unit	10400 kg	1.96	From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit	14.37 m	-0.69
Trailer Axle Unit	9100 kg	1.11	From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit	20.68 m	1.27
Total Vehicle Mass	22880 kg	0.78			
	) >				

Test Run 2					
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Axle Spacing	Measured	Error %
Steering Axle	3800 kg	-1.55	From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit	6.08 m	2.18
Driving Axle Unit	210220 kg	0.20	From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit	14.19 m	-1.94
Trailer Axle Unit	8880 kg	-1.33	From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit	20.56 m	0.69
Total Vehicle Mass	23120 kg	0.26			

Test Run 3					
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Axle Spacing	Measured	Error %
Steering Axle	3980 kg	3.11	From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit	6.06 m	1.85
Driving Axle Unit	9960 kg	-2.35	From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit	14.42 m	-0.35
Trailer Axle Unit	9260 kg	2.89	From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit	20.40 m	-0.10
Total Vehicle Mass	22920 kg	-0.61			

Test Run 4					
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Axle Spacing	Measured	Error %
Steering Axle	3800 kg	-1.55	From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit	6.03 m	1.34
Driving Axle Unit	10420 kg	2.16	From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit	14.81 m	2.35
Trailer Axle Unit	9260 kg	2.89	From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit	20.49 m	0.34
Total Vehicle Mass	22760 kg	-1.30			
					C

Test Run 5				-0	
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Spacing	Measured	Error %
Steering Axle	3860 kg	0.00	From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit	6.04 m	1.51
Driving Axle Unit	9980 kg	-2.16	From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit	14.61 m	0.97
Trailer Axle Unit	8860 kg	-1.56	From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit 💦 🌈	20.42 m	0.00
Total Vehicle Mass	23400 kg	1.47			

Test Run 6						
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Axle Spacing	Measured	Error %	
Steering Axle	3900 kg	1.04	From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit	5.95 m	0.00	
Driving Axle Unit	9980 kg	-2.16	From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit	14.72 m	1.73	
Trailer Axle Unit	8860 kg	-1.56	From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit	20.58 m	0.78	
Total Vehicle Mass	22700 kg	-1.56				
lei						

Test Run 7						
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Axle Spacing	Measured	Error %	
Steering Axle	4000 kg	3.63	From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit	5.94 m	-0.17	
Driving Axle Unit	10080 kg	-1.18	From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit	14.23 m	-1.66	
Trailer Axle Unit	8840 kg	-1.78	From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit	20.66 m	1.18	
Total Vehicle Mass	23340 kg	1.21				

Test Run 8	<u>ب</u> کې ا				
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Axle Spacing	Measured	Error %
Steering Axle	3940 kg	2.07	From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit	6.07 m	2.02
Driving Axle Unit	10180 kg	-0.20	From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit	14.47 m	0.00
Trailer Axle Un <mark>it</mark>	8780 kg	-2.44	From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit	20.54 m	0.59
Total Vehicle Mass	23020 kg	-0.17			

Test Run 9					
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Axle Spacing	Measured	Error %
Steering Axle	3980 kg	3.11	From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit	5.78 m	-2.86
Driving Axle Unit	10120 kg	-0.78	From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit	14.14 m	-2.28
Trailer Axle Unit	8960 kg	-0.44	From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit	20.45 m	0.15
Total Vehicle Mass	23220 kg	0.69			

Test Run 10					
Mass loads	Measured	Error %	Axle Spacing	Measured	Error %
Steering Axle	3780 kg	-2.07	From Steering Axle to Driving Axle Unit	5.79 m	-2.69
Driving Axle Unit	10100 kg	-0.98	From Driving to Trailer Axle Unit	14.62 m	1.04
Trailer Axle Unit	8860 kg	-1.56	From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit	20.48 m	0.29
Total Vehicle Mass	23620 kg	2.43			
Trailer Axle Unit <u>Total Vehicle Mass</u> * The calcula	8860 kg 23620 kg ations to calcula Mass Error % Mass Error % Spacing Erro Spacing Erro	$\begin{array}{c} -1.56 \\ 2.43 \end{array}$ The the Error of the	From Steering Axle to Trailer Axle Unit $\frac{kg - 3860 kg}{3860 kg} \times 100\%$ $\frac{78 m - 5.95 m}{5.95 m} \times 100\%$ $\frac{78 m - 5.95 m}{5.95 m} \times 100\%$ $\frac{100\%}{1000}$	20.48 m	0.29
att Att.					
)`					

## **Bibliography**

rood or model of comments on the contract of the contract of the contract of contract of the c

Dratt Micon Scondard for comments only Not to be the as African Scandard